Ogulei, David

From: Oqulei, David

Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2014 9:00 AM

To: Paddock, Nancy Cc: Paddock Warchol

Subject: RE: Title V Request regarding material processing areas and emissions calculations

Nancy,

Yes, I received the CTAC package. Thanks!

Kathy Strubberg called me on Tuesday to discuss the reasons why the surface model might be a better model than TANKS for estimating emissions from the bulk feed building. I asked Kathy to send me an email on Tuesday documenting some of the things she mentioned regarding the unique characteristics of the BF Bldg's operations. She said she would send me an email later that afternoon after running it by Veolia but I haven't seen the email.

As I explained to Kathy on Tuesday, although the estimated VOM/HAP emissions from the BF Bldg are very low, we still need a clear and complete explanation of why we are deviating from an established periodic monitoring methodology so as to avoid establishing improper precedence. The key here is to expand on your explanation below.

David

From: Paddock, Nancy [mailto:nancy.paddock@veolia.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2014 8:43 AM

To: Ogulei, David **Cc:** Dennis Warchol

Subject: Fwd: Title V Request regarding material processing areas and emissions calculations

Good morning David,

Just checking in to see if Kathy Strubberg was able to fully resolve this issue for you. Let me know if there are any loose ends to be tied up here. Hopefully you've also received the CTAC package and we can cross that off the list.

Thanks and have a good day!

Nancy

Nancy Paddock Environmental Engineering Specialist Veolia ES Technical Solutions, L.L.C. 7 Mobile Avenue Sauget, IL 62201 618.271.2804 (x-115) 618.271.2128 (fax)

Please note that my e-mail address has changed:

Nancy.Paddock@Veolia.com

This e-mail message from Veolia ES Technical Solutions, L.L.C. is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please communicate with the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message and delete it from all computers.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Paddock, Nancy < nancy.paddock@veolia.com>

Date: Mon, Mar 31, 2014 at 3:12 PM

Subject: Re: Title V Request regarding material processing areas and emissions calculations

To: "Ogulei, David" < Ogulei. David@epa.gov >

Cc: Dennis Warchol <<u>dennis.warchol@veolia.com</u>>, Kathy Strubberg <<u>kathys@syaeng.com</u>>

Hi David,

Kathy Strubberg will be contacting you tomorrow morning to discuss the differences in detail. In the meantime, I'll give you my very simplistic understanding of the matter. TANKS is, of course, a model for emissions from tanks, whereas the evaporative method is a model for spills. Tanks are closed systems which produce emissions from vapors displaced during loading operations and temperature changes. Spills have surface area directly exposed to the environment. Our processing areas are not closed systems, they have surface area directly exposed to the environment. We believe our processing areas are more analogous to spills than tanks. Does this help?

Also, Dennis told me you were looking for the CTAC for revisions. I copied all the revisions and Doug signed the CTAC once for all of them. They went out Fed Ex today.

Thank you for your patience, David. Have a great day!

Nancy

Nancy Paddock
Environmental Engineering Specialist
Veolia ES Technical Solutions, L.L.C.
7 Mobile Avenue
Sauget, IL 62201
618.271.2804 (x-115)
618.271.2128 (fax)

Please note that my e-mail address has changed:

Nancy.Paddock@Veolia.com

This e-mail message from Veolia ES Technical Solutions, L.L.C. is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please communicate

with the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message and delete it from all computers.

On Mon, Mar 31, 2014 at 8:14 AM, Ogulei, David < Ogulei. David@epa.gov > wrote:

Hi Nancy,

Good morning! I'm just trying to understand why exactly the surface model is superior to TANKS. TANKS also relies on the compound's vapor pressure to estimate the headspace concentration. Is it possible that TANKS could estimate higher emissions than the surface model in some years? What is the fundamental difference between the two methods that guarantees that the surface model will always yield higher emissions?

From: Paddock, Nancy [mailto:<u>nancy.paddock@veolia.com</u>]

Sent: Monday, March 31, 2014 7:33 AM

To: Ogulei, David **Cc:** Dennis Warchol

Subject: Re: Title V Request regarding material processing areas and emissions calculations

Good morning, David!

We used five years worth of TRI data to determine composite VOM and HAP concentration values for use in the evaporative equation.. I did not compare five years worth of data. I am working on the 2013 annual emissions inventory. Since thru put data for 2013 was handy, I used it to compare TANKS and evaporative methods in the small table I sent on March 3, 2014.

I hope this clears things up!

Have a great day!

Nancy Paddock Environmental Engineering Specialist Veolia ES Technical Solutions, L.L.C. 7 Mobile Avenue Sauget, IL 62201 618.271.2804 (x-115)

Please note that my e	e-mail add	lress has	changed	1:
-----------------------	------------	-----------	---------	----

Nancy.Paddock@Veolia.com

This e-mail message from Veolia ES Technical Solutions, L.L.C. is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please communicate with the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message and delete it from all computers.

Forwarded message ----------From: Ogulei, David < Ogulei. David@epa.gov >
Date: Fri, Mar 28, 2014 at 4:43 PM
Subject: RE: Title V Request regarding material processing areas and emissions calculations
To: "Paddock, Nancy" < nancy.paddock@veolia.com >
Cc: Dennis Warchol < dennis.warchol@veolia.com >

Hi Nancy,

As stated in your email below, the emissions comparison shown in the table below is for one calendar year. Which year is this? Do you have emissions data for more than one calendar year that show what the difference in emissions between the two methods is? The email says you looked at 5 years of TRI data.

Thanks,

David

From: Paddock, Nancy [mailto:<u>nancy.paddock@veolia.com</u>]

Sent: Monday, March 03, 2014 1:43 PM

To: Ogulei, David **Cc:** Dennis Warchol

Subject: Title V Request regarding material processing areas and emissions calculations

Hello David,

Section 2.2(E)(3) of TWI's 2008 Title V permit states that the TANKS program must be used to calculate emissions from MP1, MP2 and LPR.

Last year, a consultant reviewed our emissions inventory process. The Surface Evaporation Model from EPA Guidance EIIP Volume II:Chapter 8 Section 4.1.4. Preferred and Alternative Methods for Estimating Air Emissions from Paint and Ink Manufacturing Facilities, March 1998. Equation 8.4-18 was used to calculate emissions from MP1, MP2 and LPR. The consultant compiled an average of 5 years of the most recent TRI concentration data to determine the concentration of VOC and HAP in the waste, which was then used in the EPA Surface Evaporation Model referenced above.

TANKS is based on routine displacement of liquids. The surface evaporation model is based on the volatility and ability of a compound to evaporate from the surface of solids and then be picked up by the air in the building and carried to the atmosphere. The evaporation method seems to be more appropriate for MP1, MP2 and LPR; TWI would like to change section 2.2(E)(3) of the upcoming permit (or the equivalent section thereof) to indicate the Surface Evaporation Model will be used to calculate emissions from these sources.

This table compares emissions calculated by both methods for the same calendar year:

	TANKS Model		Evaporative model	
area	TPY	lb	TPY	lb
MP-1	0.06	117	0.21	424
MP-2	0.02	44	0.11	212
LABPACK/REPACK	0.03	68	0.04	85

I hope this makes sense. Please let me know if you have questions.

Thank you,

Nancy Paddock Environmental Engineering Specialist Veolia ES Technical Solutions, L.L.C. 7 Mobile Avenue Sauget, IL 62201 618.271.2804 (x-115)

618.271.2128 (fax)

Please note that my e-mail address has changed:

Nancy.Paddock@Veolia.com

This e-mail message from Veolia ES Technical Solutions, L.L.C. is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please communicate with the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message and delete it from all computers.